No. 4589 given in the Rajya Sabha on 5th September, 1988 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that notices for recovery have been issued in respect of Trimethoprim, Sulphamethoxazole, Analgin, Tetracycline, Amoxicillin, Doxycycline and Dexamethasone;

(b) what are the names of drug companies and when was each notice sent to each of them; and

(c) what progress has been made in the recovery under para 7(2) of DPCO, 1979 during thue last three years?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) and (b) The required details in the question are voluminous. The time and efforts required for collection of these details will not be in commensurate with the results likely to be extracted.

(c) Except for the payment of Rs. 50 lakhs made by M/s. Fulford India Ltd. on the directions of the Supreme Court, no other recovery under Para 7(2) of DPCO, 1979 has been made during the last three years.

Production of Coal

2066. DR. MOHD. HASHIM KIDWAI: Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) quantity of coal produced in the country during the year 1985-86, 1986-87 and 1987-88;

(b) whether the quantity of production during these years fulfilled targets of production; and

(c) what steps are being taken by Government to increase the production of coal?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COAL IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI C. K. JAFFAR SHARIEF): (a) and (b) Coal production in the country during the year 1985-86, 1986-87 and 1987-88, company-wise, vis-a-vis target, is indicated below:

Coal production (in million tonnes)

Company	1985-86		1986-87		1987-88	
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
Coal India Ltd.	133.50	134.11	143.50	144.74	158.00	159.05
Singareni Collieries Co. Limited.	16 00	15.66	18.00	16.58	20.00	16.40
Captive collieries of steel plants/DVC.	5.00	4.43	5.30	4.45	5.50	4.30
ALL INDIA	154.50	154.20	166.80	165.77	183.50	179.75

Coal production in Coal India Ltd., in these years, exceeded the target but there has been shortfall in production in Singareni Collieries Company and also in the captive collieries of TISCO/IISCO and DVC.

(c) Steps taken to increase coal production inter-alia include opening of new mines, application of new technologies to achieve maximum results and making available required inputs and infrastructural facilities to optimise production of coal.

Sale of the products of M/s. Infar

2067. SHRI YALLA SESI BHUSHANA RAO:

DR. (SHRIMATI) SAROJINI MAHISHI:

DR. G. VIJAYA MOHAN REDDY:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 3279 given in the Rajya Sabha on 22nd August, 1988 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Martin and Harris are distributing the products of M/s Infar and if so, on what basis;

(b) whether it is also a fact that due to this reasons exhorbitant prices are being charged by M/s. Infar for their products;

(c) what are the reasons/justification for price of one ampule of Decadurabolin being charged between Rs. 15.50 to Rs. 80.00; and

(d) what steps have been taken or proposed to be taken by Government to rectify the situation?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) and (b) The details of marketing arrangement entered into by M/s. Martin and Harris and M/s Infar are not available.

(c) The price varies according to the strength of the drug. As per the information available with the Government, it is Rs. 15.50 for 10 mg., Rs. 28.65 for 25 mg., Rs. 46.25 for 50 mg. and Rs. 80.00 for 80 mg.

(d) Formulation Decadurabolin was price decontrolled under DPCO, 1979 and continues to be so under DPCO, 1987. As per the provisions of DPCO, 1987, the manufacturers of decontrolled drugs are free to revise the prices. However, Government is keeping a watch and has intervened wherever found necessary.

(The correct number of the referred Unstarred Question is 3297 and not 3279).

Pending cases of drug companies

2068. SHRI YALLA SESI BHUSHANA RAO: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question No. 1513 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 4th May, 1987 and state:

(a) what are the names of the companies who challenged the price fixation orders in various courts whose cases have been decided by the courts;

(b) what are the details of decision in each case by the respective court;

(c) whether any of the court gave any direction to his Ministry;

(d) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(e) what are the details of cases still pending in various courts and what is the position of each?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) to (e) Information to the extent available is given in the enclosed Statement.